SANDERS LAW GROUP

Craig B. Sanders, Esq.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500

Garden City, NY 11530 Tel: (516) 203-7600

Email: csanders@sanderslaw.group

File No.: 124484 Attorneys for Plaintiff

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK **BROOKLYN DIVISION**

Matthew McDermott,

Case No:

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

v.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

K-Radio LLC,

Defendant.

Plaintiff Matthew McDermott ("Plaintiff"), by and through its undersigned counsel, for its Complaint against defendant K-Radio LLC ("Defendant") states and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This action seeks to recover damages for copyright infringement and the violation of the DMCA for the removal of copyright management information.
- 2. Plaintiff herein creates photographic images and owns the rights to these images which Plaintiff licenses for various uses including online and print publications.
- 3. Defendant owns and operates a website known as www.am1660.com (the "Website").
- 4. Defendant, without permission or authorization from Plaintiff actively copied, stored, and/or displayed Plaintiff's Photograph on the Website and engaged in this misconduct knowingly and in violation of the United States copyright laws.

7

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff Matthew McDermott is an individual who is a citizen of the State of New York and maintains a principal place of business 23-38 33rd Street, Queens in New York City, New York.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant K-Radio LLC, is a New York limited liability company with a principal place of business at 209-35 Northern Boulevard, Queens in New York City, New York and is liable and responsible to Plaintiff based on the facts herein alleged.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the federal copyright infringement claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1338(a) and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over K-Radio LLC because it maintains its principal place of business in New York.
- 9. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(a)(2) because K-Radio LLC does business in this Judicial District and/or because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

- 10. Plaintiff is a professional photographer by trade who is the legal and rightful owners of photographs which Plaintiff licenses to online and print publications.
- 11. Plaintiff has invested significant time and money in building Plaintiff's photograph portfolio.
- 12. Plaintiff has obtained active and valid copyright registrations from the United States Copyright Office (the "USCO") which cover many of Plaintiff's photographs while many others are the subject of pending copyright applications.
- 13. Plaintiff's photographs are original, creative works in which Plaintiff owns protectable copyright interests.
- 14. Upon information and belief, K-Radio LLC is the registered owner of the Website and is responsible for its content.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

SANDERS	
0	
<u>o</u>	

15.	Upon information	and belief,	K-Radio	LLC is	the o	operator	of the	Website	and i
responsible for	r its content.								

- 16. The Website is a popular and lucrative commercial enterprise.
- 17. The Website is monetized in that it contains paid advertisements and, upon information and belief, Defendant profits from these activities.
- 18. On October 5, 2020, Plaintiff authored a photograph of New York City Police officers speaking at a memorial service at St. Patrick's Cathedral in New York City (the "Photograph"). A copy of the Photograph is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 19. Plaintiff applied to the USCO to register the Photograph on or about November 21, 2020 under Application No. 1-9902734108.
- 20. The Photograph was registered by the USCO on November 21, 2020 under Registration No. VA 2-228-109.
- On November 1, 2021, Plaintiff first observed the Photograph on the Website in a 21. story dated October 5, 2020. A copy of the screengrab of the Website including the Photograph is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
- 22. The Photograph displayed URL: at was https://www.am1660.com/kradio/board.php?bo table=loca&wr id=25349&sca=Local+News&s st=wr hit&sod=desc&sop=and&page=89.
- 23. The Photograph URL: stored at was https://www.am1660.com/data/editor/2010/thumb-

87165d29359d660f31c12de4348f77c2 1601931029 6739 800x461.jpg

- 24. Without permission or authorization from Plaintiff, Defendant volitionally selected, copied, stored and/or displayed Plaintiff's copyright protected Photograph as is set forth in Exhibit "1" on the Website.
- Upon information and belief, the Photograph was copied, stored and displayed 25. without license or permission, thereby infringing on Plaintiff's copyrights (hereinafter the "Infringement").

2

- 27. The Infringement is an exact copy of the entirety of Plaintiff's original image that was directly copied and stored by Defendant on the Website.
- 28. Upon information and belief, Defendant takes an active and pervasive role in the content posted on its Website by, inter alia, directly employing reporters, authors and editors as its agents who post content on Defendant's Website under the alias designation "Kradio am1660" ("Employees").
- 29. Upon information and belief, at all material times the Employees were acting within the course and scope of their employment when they posted the Infringement.
- 30. Upon information and belief, at all material times the Employees were acting within the course and scope of their agency when they posted the Infringement.
- 31. Upon information and belief, the Photograph was willfully and volitionally posted to the Website by Defendant.
- 32. Upon information and belief, Defendant is not registered with the United States Copyright Office pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §512.
- 33. Upon information and belief, the Infringement was not posted at the direction of a "user" as that term is defined in 17 U.S.C. §512(c).
- 34. Upon information and belief, Defendant engaged in the Infringement knowingly and in violation of applicable United States Copyright Laws.
- 35. Upon information and belief, Defendant has the legal right and ability to control and limit the infringing activities on its Website and exercised and/or had the right and ability to exercise such right.
 - 36. Upon information and belief, Defendant monitors the content on its Website.

15

25

- Upon information and belief, Defendant has received a financial benefit directly 37. attributable to the Infringement.
- 38. Upon information and belief, the Infringement increased traffic to the Website and, in turn, caused Defendant to realize an increase in its advertising revenues.
- 39. Upon information and belief, a large number of people have viewed the unlawful copies of the Photograph on the Website.
- 40. Upon information and belief, Defendant at all times had the ability to stop the reproduction and display of Plaintiff's copyrighted material.
- 41. Defendant's use of the Photograph, if widespread, would harm Plaintiff's potential market for the Photograph.
 - 42. As a result of Defendant's misconduct, Plaintiff has been substantially harmed.

FIRST COUNT

(Direct Copyright Infringement, 17 U.S.C. §501 et seq.)

- 43. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs, as though set forth in full herein.
- 44. The Photograph is an original, creative work in which Plaintiff owns valid copyright properly registered with the United States Copyright Office.
- 45. Plaintiff has not licensed Defendant the right to use the Photograph in any manner, nor has Plaintiff assigned any of its exclusive rights in the copyrights to Defendant.
- 46. Without permission or authorization from Plaintiff and in willful violation of Plaintiff's rights under 17 U.S.C. §106, Defendant improperly and illegally copied, stored, reproduced, distributed, adapted, and/or publicly displayed works copyrighted by Plaintiff thereby violating one of Plaintiff's exclusive rights in its copyrights.
- 47. Defendant's reproduction of the Photograph and display of the Photograph constitutes willful copyright infringement. Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co., Inc., 499 U.S. 340, 361 (1991).
 - 48. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the Defendant willfully

11

13

15

26

24

27

infringed upon Plaintiff's copyrighted Photograph in violation of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, in that they used, published, communicated, posted, publicized, and otherwise held out to the public for commercial benefit, the original and unique Photograph of the Plaintiff without Plaintiff's consent or authority, by using it in the infringing article on the Website.

- 49. As a result of Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of actual damages and disgorgement of all of Defendant's profits attributable to the infringement as provided by 17 U.S.C. § 504 in an amount to be proven or, in the alternative, at Plaintiff's election, an award for statutory damages against Defendant for each infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).
- As a result of the Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, the court in 50. its discretion may allow the recovery of full costs as well as reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505 from Defendant.
- 51. As a result of Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief to prevent or restrain infringement of his copyright pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502.

SECOND COUNT

(Falsification, Removal and Alteration of Copyright Management Information 17 U.S.C. § 1202)

- Plaintiff repeats and incorporates, as though fully set forth herein, each and every 52. allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs, as though set forth in full herein.
- 53. Upon information and belief, Defendant knew that Plaintiff created and held rights to the Photograph because, inter alia, the source of the Photograph that Defendant used to make its infringing copy specifically attributed the Photograph to Plaintiff by watermark or photo credit.
- 54. Upon information and belief, in its article on the Website, Defendant copied the Photograph from https://nypost.com/2020/10/05/nypd-honors-46-members-who-died-of-covid-19/ which contained a photograph credit beneath the Photograph stating "Matthew McDermott," the owner and author of the Photograph.
 - 55. The photograph credit is copyright management information.

9

10

28

- 57. Upon information and belief, Defendant intentionally removed copyright management information related to the Photograph with the intent to induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal an infringement of Plaintiff's rights under the Copyright Act. Specifically, Defendant purposefully failed to include the photo credit originally conveyed with the Photograph in order to mislead the public into believing that Defendant either owned the Photograph or had legitimately licensed it for use in the Infringement.
- 58. In addition, Defendant displayed the unauthorized copies of the Photograph knowing the copyright management information had been removed.
 - 59. Defendant's conduct violates 17 U.S.C. § 1202(a) and 1202(b).
- 60. Upon information and belief, Defendant's falsification, removal and/or alteration of the aforementioned copyright management information was made without the knowledge or consent of Plaintiff.
- 61. Upon information and belief, the falsification, alteration and/or removal of said copyright management information was made by Defendant intentionally, knowingly and with the intent to induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal their infringement of Plaintiff's copyright in the Photograph. Defendant also knew, or should have known, that such falsification, alteration and/or removal of said copyright management information would induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal their infringement of Plaintiff's copyright in the Photograph.
- 62. Plaintiff has sustained significant injury and monetary damages as a result of Defendant' wrongful acts as hereinabove alleged, and as a result of being involuntarily associated with Defendant in an amount to be proven.
- 63. As a result of Defendants' violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(c)(2), Plaintiff is entitled to an award of the actual damages suffered as a result of the violation including any profits of the Defendant attributable to the violation or, alternatively, Plaintiff may elect to recover from Defendant statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §

1

2

3

4

15

14

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1203(c)(3) for each violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202.

64. As a result of the Defendants' violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, the court in its discretion may allow the recovery of full costs as well as reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(b)(4) and (5) from Defendant.

JURY DEMAND

65. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

That the Court enters a judgment finding that Defendant has infringed on Plaintiff's rights to the Photograph in violation of 17 U.S.C. §501 et seq. and award damages and monetary relief as follows:

- finding that Defendant infringed upon Plaintiff's copyright interest in the a. Photograph by copying and displaying without a license or consent;
- b. for an award of actual damages and disgorgement of all of Defendant's profits attributable to the infringement as provided by 17 U.S.C. § 504 in an amount to be proven or, in the alternative, at Plaintiff's election, an award for statutory damages against Defendant for each infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), whichever is larger;
- for an award of actual damages or, in the alternative, statutory damages c. against Defendant for each falsification or removal of copyright management information pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1202;
- d. for an order pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502(a) enjoining Defendant from any infringing use of any of Plaintiff's works;
- for costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees against Defendant e. pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505 and/or 17 U.S.C. §1203(b)(4) and (5);
- f. for pre judgment interest as permitted by law; and
- for any other relief the Court deems just and proper. g.

DATED: April 12, 2022

SANDERS LAW GROUP

By: /s/ Craig B. Sanders

Craig B. Sanders, Esq.

100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500

Garden City, NY 11530 Tel: (516) 203-7600

Email: csanders@sanderslaw.group

File No.: 124484

Attorneys for Plaintiff